

**REMARKS**

The Examiner is thanked for the courtesy of the personal interview as reflected on his Interview Summary. The undersigned explained at that time why the Laurie patent is not even remotely related to the structure and/or operation and/or results of the present invention. The Examiner agreed that the Laurie handle assembly does not meet the terms of amended Claim 1 for at least the reason that its so-called release lever (namely, the member 5 of the Laurie assembly) is outside the outer door panel and is not located between the panels. Thus, aside from this important structural difference, the Laurie handle assembly is not designed for or capable of addressing bulge problems at increased accelerations.

Accordingly, early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.


If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

Serial No. 10/663,745  
Amendment Dated: September 29, 2005  
Reply to Office Action

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #028987.52501US).

Respectfully submitted,

September 29, 2005



---

James F. McKeown  
Registration No. 25,406

CROWELL & MORING LLP  
Intellectual Property Group  
P.O. Box 14300  
Washington, DC 20044-4300  
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500  
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844  
JFM:smj